

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/896,144	06/29/2001	Shari Gharavy	85160.922	85160.922 5432	
33438	7590 03/11/2005		EXAMINER		
HAMILTON & TERRILE, LLP			RUDY, ANDREW J		
P.O. BOX 203 AUSTIN, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

^	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/896,144	GHARAVY, SHARI	
○ Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  6 from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 De	ecember 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,9-23 and 27-51 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) 34-51 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,9-23 and 25-33 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 34-51 are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	= : :	-	).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Appliity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	man/ /PTO-413\	
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	mary (P10-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant cancelled claims 7, 8 and 24. Pursuant to Applicant's December 13,
 2004 Amendment and REMARKS the previous rejection is withdrawn.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claims 34-51 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Applicant is reminded that a previous restriction requirement has already been forwarded to Applicant. Applicant elected, without traverse, of Group I, claims 1-6, 9-23, 25 and 26. The following additional Groups are noted:

- IV. Claims 34-48, drawn to a computer system, classified in class 709, subclass 203.
- V. Claim 49, drawn to an article of manufacture, classified in class 235, subclass 383.
- VI. Claims 50 and 51, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 707, subclass 10.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 09/896,144 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

3. Inventions Group I and Group VI are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another materially different process such as provide a cashless transaction involving a distributor and customer.

- 4. Inventions Group I and Groups IV, V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention Groups IV, V has separate utility such as a means to distibrute products by a web site vendor over the Internet. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups IV, V or VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/896,144 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

7. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 34-51 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-6, 9-23 and 25-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carrott, US 6,782,369, in view of Black et al., US 2001/0039547.

Carrott discloses a record keeping system in a network of distributors to properly credit sales commissions for individuals located in various geographic areas.

Black discloses credential information for individuals needing proper clearance, e.g. {0026}.

Application/Control Number: 09/896,144

Art Unit: 3627

Page 5

To have provided each distributor to have been credentialed for Carrott, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, in view of Black. The motivation for doing such is that it has been common knowledge that sales forces in different geographic locations, e.g. state v. state, or country v. country, often require specific credentials in order to comply with the regulatory agency/entity that oversees the laws governing the sale of a distributors product(s). Official Notice is taken that the various features recited by Applicant in the dependent claim language have been common knowledge in the credential verification art. To have provided such for Carrott, as modified by Black, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

10. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.

#### Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P. Olszewski can be reached on 703-308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Fron